

Docket No. F-7707

Ser. No. 10/625,078

**REMARKS**

Claims 10, and 12-25 are now pending in this application. Claims 10-15 are rejected. Claims 1-9 are previously cancelled. Claim 11 is presently cancelled. New claims 22-25 are added. Claims 10, 12, 16, 18 and 20 are amended herein.

**CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

Claims 10-15 are rejected as obvious over the Weher reference in view of the Schnee reference under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). The applicant herein respectfully traverses this rejection. For a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) to be sustained, the differences between the features of the combined references and the present invention must be obvious to one skilled in the art.

The Examiner cites the Schnee reference teaching a plurality of indicators on a boat. However, aside from one monitor 58, the indicators in the Schnee reference are merely television receivers 90 which can be switched to a TV channel corresponding to the channel output by the RF modulator 76 to display the display information presented on the monitor 58. The system can present information from a television camera, or a GPS receiver and mapper onto the monitor display.

It is respectfully submitted that the references are insufficient to render obvious the present claims 10, 12 and 15 in that the art relied on does not teach the

Docket No. F-7707

Ser. No. 10/625,078

claimed selectors at each of the indicators. The television receivers 90 have channel selectors which can select from TV channels received and the display produced on the TV channel output by the RF modulator 76. However, these TV channel selectors do not select "signals from the plurality of measuring units" as presented in claim 10, or claims 14 and 15 reciting the same of the measuring devices. Instead, whatever is displayed on the monitor is what is converted by the RF modulator 76 and displayed at the television receivers 90. Thus, a user at any of the television receivers 90 is not provided with a selector to select from signals of multiple measuring units but is limited to viewing what is selected for viewing on the monitor 58 by the switch 44.

The detailed operation of the Schnee system is such that the monitor displays either "the video camera signal" or "the map video signal," whichever one is selected by the video switch 73, controlled by the selector switch 44 which is at the monitor 58 location. Col. 4, lines 54-62. Therefore, if the monitor 58 is displaying "the video camera signal," a user at one of the television monitors 90 is not provided with a selector to select the display of "the map video signal." This selection is effected only by the selector switch 44. The user at the television receiver can only change channels on the television receiver which may include a channel dedicated to the RF modulator. Col. 5, lines 10-15. This channel selection does not permit selecting from a plurality of measurement unit signals since only

Docket No. F-7707

Ser. No. 10/625,078

the already selected signal converted by the RF modulator is available for the onboard sources.

Thus, it is respectfully submitted that the rejected claims are not obvious in view of the cited references for the reasons stated above. Reconsideration of the rejections of claims 10-15 and their allowance are respectfully requested.

#### **ALLOWABLE SUBJECT MATTER OBJECTIONS**

Claims 16-21 are objected to as being dependent from rejected base claims. The Examiner indicates that the claims contain allowable subject matter and would be allowed if put in independent form incorporating the limitations of the base and intervening claims. The claims are amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. Reconsideration of the objection and allowance of the claims are respectfully requested.

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

OCT 02 2006

Docket No. F-7707

Ser. No. 10/625,078

**CLAIM FEES**

Three independent claims in excess of three added. Accordingly, please charge the fee of \$600.00 to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

**REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME**

Applicant respectfully requests a one month extension of time for responding to the Office Action. Please charge the fee of \$120.00 for the extension of time to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

If there is any discrepancy between the fee(s) due and the fee payment authorized in the Credit Card Payment Form PTO-2038 or the Form PTO-2038 is missing or fee payment via the Form PTO-2038 cannot be processed, the USPTO is hereby authorized to charge any fee(s) or fee(s) deficiency or credit any excess payment to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

Docket No. F-7707

Ser. No. 10/625,078

In light of the foregoing, the application is now believed to be in proper form for allowance of all claims and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP

By C. Bruce Hamburg  
C. Bruce Hamburg  
Reg. No. 22,389  
Attorney for Applicants

by and,

By H. F. Ruschmann  
Herbert F. Ruschmann  
Reg. No. 35,341  
Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP  
122 East 42nd Street  
New York, New York 10168  
(212) 986-2340